


Collective Impact for Community Response



Community System to Enhance Protective Factors and Child Well Being





Levels of Entry
in Communities

Community Response

Alternative Response, Probation

Traditional Response, Incarceration, Mental Health

Community Response

Community Response: Areas of Focus

- Prevention of Higher Systems of Care
- Community and Family Protective Factors framework
- Central navigation
- Coordinated Case management
- Family centered team approach
- Trauma informed practices
- Collective Impact approach with board based system partners
- Flexible funding and filling gaps with resources
- CQI-shared data
- Organized community– everyone knows the available resources in your community
- Utilize family barriers and align strengths to build an effective prevention system

Community Response is a system of supports and services for children and families to strengthen families and build protective factors. It is a system that can prevent families from unnecessary entry into higher-end systems of care (juvenile justice, child welfare system, etc.). It is focused on primary, secondary and tertiary levels of prevention and requires a broad-based collaboration committed to working together to align and access all available resources and to address gaps in order to build a robust prevention system.

Participating communities develop and coordinate an array of local resources to determine eligibility criteria, identify families, administer and share screening and assessments, and provide support to families. Typically, communities develop a Community Response Team with designated point persons for central navigation and professionals for management, support and connection. Team members are trained in family centered practice, cultural responsiveness, protective factors and other core elements.

Evidence-Based Ratings of Child Well Being Strategies

Program	Community(ies)	Rating / Level
3-5-7 Permanency Quest	Adams, Clay, Nuckolls and Webster Counties	Emerging I
Befriend	Platte/Colfax, Dodge	N/A
Circle of Security - Parenting	Panhandle Partnership, Dakota, Lincoln Counties	Promising II
Community Learning Centers (CLCs)	Lancaster County	Emerging I
Common Sense Parenting	Dakota County, Lincoln County	Emerging I
Community Response	Dodge County, Hall County, Lincoln County, Lancaster County, Panhandle Partnership	Emerging I
FAST	Panhandle, Hall County	Supported III
Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT)	Dakota, Dodge County, Lincoln County, Platte-Colfax	Supported III
Parents Interacting With Infants (PIWI)	Dakota, Dodge County, Lincoln, Platte-Colfax Counties	Emerging I
School Intervention Tracker	Hall County	Emerging I
SANKOFA	Hall County	Emerging I
TEAMS	Panhandle Partnership	Emerging I

Goals of Community Response Systems

- Increasing family and community protective factors to strengthen parent and child resiliency, increase self-sufficiency, and realize positive life outcomes over time.
- Reducing entry into the child welfare system
- Increasing informal and community supports for families (according to family needs).




Strategies of Community Response (July 2014 - June 2015)

Number of Families Served Directly	349	Number of Families Served Indirectly	137
Number of Children Served Directly	930	Number of Children Served Indirectly	221
Number of Parents with Disabilities Served Directly	104	Number of Staff Participating	32**
Number of Children with Disabilities Served Directly	89	Number of Organizations Participating	29**
Number of First-Time Children with Substantiated Child Abuse Who Were Served Directly	4		

	Quantity - How much? (Inputs, Outputs)		Quality - How well? (Process)	
	Effort	# of families that participated in strategy	349	# and % who strongly agree or mostly agree that they felt respected and valued by the therapist or staff.
# and % who strongly agree or mostly agree that they have learned new techniques to teach their child new skills.				71/80* 88.8%*
# of families re-referred to strategy (case closure form)		6*	# and % who strongly agree or mostly agree that they would recommend this therapy or program to another parent.	72/80* 90%*
			# and % who strongly agree or mostly agree that they feel the relationship with their child is better than before.	77/80* 96.3%*
Effect Is anyone better off? (Outcomes)	# of families that did not enter the child welfare system (case closure form)		Not Collected	
	# of families that identified at least 3 informal supports by discharge from the strategy (case closure form)		64/89*	71.9%*
	# and % of goals completed by families (# of goals completed / total # identified on case closure form)		54/79*	68.4%*
	# and % of parents reporting improved .5 (increase):			
	(1) access to concrete supports		39/72*	54.2%*
(2) social connections		33/72*	45.8%*	
(3) knowledge of child development		27/68*	39.7%*	
(4) nurturing and attachment		26/70*	37.1%*	
(5) family functioning/parental resilience (FRIENDS PFS)		40/73*	54.8%*	

Community Response Protective Factors


Parents participating in Community Response demonstrated significant improvements across all Protective Factors. Parents' strengths were in Social Connections and Nurturing and Attachment.



Significant improvement shown in all categories.

Child Well Being Communities

Investments in Youth Reaching Full Potential in Life and Career



 Existing Child Well-Being
 Beginning Child Well-Being


Child Well Being

Collective Impact for Ownership of
Child Well Being Outcomes
(prevention)

Agency focused 2007

Number of Agencies

6 agencies

Numbers served

867 at risk children and families

Funds leveraged

\$ -0-

Outcomes

???

Collective Impact focused 2014

Number of Agencies

Over 400 agencies in 7 collaborations

Numbers served

1,172 families and 3,568 children and youth

Funds leveraged

\$6 million

Outcomes

Protective Factors – 40% increase (.5 increase)


HS Grad Rate

Child Abuse and Neglect Rate

Juvenile Justice Rate

Juvenile Arrest (Rates per 1,000)


JUVENILE ARREST



- All Child Well Being Communities' juvenile arrest rate dropped between 2011 and 2013
- Platte County, part of the Platte-Colfax Child Well-Being Community, had the greatest decrease (shown right)
- Lincoln County had the least decrease. The rate decreased by -4.824 in Lincoln County


Child Abuse/Neglect
(Rates per 1,000)

CHILD ABUSE/NEGLECT



- Dakota County had the greatest decrease between 2011 and 2013

High School Graduation (%)



- Lincoln County had the greatest increase (shown right) between 2011 and 2013
- Colfax County had the least increase. The rate decreased by 4.3% in Colfax County